

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,069		08/01/2003	Donald L. Yates	3375.9US (95-0999.08/US)	4229
24247	7590	11/04/2004		EXAMINER	
TRASK BRITT P.O. BOX 2550				STINSON, FRANKIE L	
		UT 84110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1746	
		•		DATE MAN ED. 11/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				/./				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	v				
	Office Action Surrey	10/633,069	YATES, DONALD L.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	TI BRAU NO DATE OU	FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- cion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute. cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	07 September 2004.						
2a)⊠		This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are wire claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	thdrawn from consideration.						
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Exa							
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)							
	Applicant may not request that any objection t							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c The oath or declaration is objected to by the			•				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Between the attached detailed Office action for a second content.	ments have been received. ments have been received in App priority documents have been re ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)	4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔲 Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S No(s)/Mail Date	8) Faper No(s)/I B/08) 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/633,069

Art Unit: 1746

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 1-38 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,607,001. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the "001 patent requires the vessel to contain a liquid being supplied thereto, thusly since the claims of the "001 patent list only the top bottom and *at least side*, the same is not precluded from multiple sides and by definition for a vessel to contain a liquid, the plurality of sides is deemed to be inherent.
- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-38 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

However, In regard to the remarks on the '001 patent namely that the claims of the same are directed to a different embodiment than that instantly claimed, please note that the instant claims are now applicable under the nonstatutory double grounds of rejection.

Art Unit: 1746

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 am to 2:30 and some Saturdays from approximately 7:30 am to 1:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 1746

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON
Primary Examiner
GROUP ART UNIT 1746